SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ANALYSIS, THERMAL ANALYSIS AND GRAVIMETRIC DETERMINATION OF SOME METAL IONS WITH OXIME AND SCHIFF'S BASE DERIVATIVES OF N-FUROYLPHENYLHYDROXYLAMINE

M. M. Moustafa

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Benha University, Benha, Kalubia, Egypt

(Received January 20, 1996; in revised form September 1, 1996)

Abstract

The oxime and Schiff's base of N-furoylphenylhydroxylamine form complexes with Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Fe³⁺. These complexes were studied by means of the UV – Vis. spectra of nujol mulls, electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra and magnetic susceptibility to determine their stereochemistry. The complexes were characterized via elemental analyses, molar conductivities and thermogravimetric analyses. Organic reagents were used for the gravimetric determination of Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Fe³⁺ through the precipitation of their complexes. The compounds were also used for separation of a binary mixture of Cu^{2+} and Cu^{2+} . Interferences were studied.

Keywords: analyses of metal chelates, determination of stereochemistry

Introduction

Hydroxamic acid derivatives have been used as analytical reagents for the analysis of many metal ions [1-5]. The coordination phenomena occurring in oximes and Schiff's bases of hydroxamic acid derivatives, and also the formation of stable metal complexes have been investigated by many authors [6, 7].

In the present work, the complexes were isolated in the solid state and characterized by means of spectral magnetic measurements and elemental and thermogravimetric analyses. The organic reagents were used for the gravimetric determination of metal ions after quantitative precipitation of the metal chelates.

Experimental

The oxime (I) and Schiff's base (II) of N-furoylphenylhydroxylamine were prepared [7, 8] with the general formula:

0368–4466/97/ \$ 5.00 © 1997 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest

John Wiley & Sons Limited Chichester



The solid complexes were prepared by mixing a hot solution (0.01 mol) of Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} or Fe³⁺ chloride with the appropriate amount of the organic reagents sufficient to form 1:1 or 1:2 (*M:L*) complexes in the case of divalent cations and also 1:3 (*M:L*) complexes in the case of trivalent cations. The reaction mixture was then refluxed on a water bath for 2 h. The solid complexes separated out from the mixture, and were filtered off, washed with dry ethanol and dried over dried silica gel (yield in the range 78.5–91.7%). They were subjected to elemental micro analyses for C, H, N, metal and chloride ion content. The results were in good agreement with those calculated for the suggested formulae. The molar conductivities are listed in Table 1. Their structures were confirmed by spectroscopic techniques and thermogravimetric analysis. The structures of the solid chelates were previously suggested by the IR and ¹H NMR spectra [9].

To determine the metal ion content [10], the solid complexes were digested with conc. HNO_3 , and then diluted to a measured volume. The determination was performed by EDTA titration. The analysis of the solution for its chloride ion content was performed by Volhard's method [11].

Physical measurements and apparatus were as detailed earlier [7, 12, 13].

Results and discussion

The absorption spectra and magnetic moments of the complexes are given in Table 1. The electronic absorption spectra of the ligands and their solid complexes with Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} or Fe^{3+} were measured in dimethylformamide (DMF), and also for solid complexes dispersed in nujol mull [14] (Table 1). The visible spectra indicate that the CT bands for the ligands (281-309 nm) are redshifted on complexation. The Co²⁺ complexes exhibit a band at 400-428 nm, assigned to the ${}^{4}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1g}$ (F) transition, which indicates an octahedral structure [15]; transformation takes place through the coordination of two solvent molecules (DMF), leading to the six-coordinated complex. The observed magnetic moments of these cobalt complexes are 4.26-4.55 B.M. at room temperature. The Cu²⁺ complexes exhibit a broad band at 605-640 nm, assigned to the ${}^{2}E_{g} \rightarrow {}^{2}T_{2g}$ transition, which indicates square planar geometry. The magnetic moments of the copper complexes were found to be 1.78-1.96 B.M. a dsp² hybrid orbital being involved. The Zn^{2+} complexes exhibit a shoulder at 455–480 nm, assigned to the ${}^{2}B_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ transition. This may be ascribed to square planar geometry. The Fe³⁺ complexes exhibit a band at 510–533 nm, which may be as-

	CT ti	ansition	d-d tr	ansition			
Compound	DMF	Nujol mull	DMF	Nujol mull	g value	μ_{eff}	$\Lambda_m/$
	1	$\lambda_{\rm nm}$	6	mn The second se		(B.M.)	ohm ⁻¹ cm ² mol ⁻¹
Ligand I	290	281		-	1		1
[CoL·CI·H2O]·H2O	316	290	403	416	}	4.42	21.2
$[CoL_2 \cdot 2H_2O]$	305	287	400	408	2.2648	4.26	9.4
[CuL·Cl·H ₂ 0]·H ₂ 0	323	319	619	632	2.1667 2.2814	1.87	21.8
$[CuL_2 · 2H_2 O]$	318	311	605	611	2.1600	1.81	12.3
[ZnL·Cl·H ₂ O]·H ₂ O	298	287	468	480	۱	-	20.3
$[ZnL_2 \cdot 2H_2O]$	295	284	460	471	1	I	6.2
[FeL·Cl ₂ ·2H ₂ O]·H ₂ O	327	321	525	533	4.2023 2.0682	5.90	24.5
$[FeL_3]$ ·2H ₂ O	318	312	514	519	}	5.66	9.3
Ligand II	309	294	I	I	ĵ	I	I
[CoL·CI·H ₂ O]·H ₂ O	316	304	419	428	1	4.55	19.8
$[CoL_2 \cdot 2H_2 O]$	312	300	410	422	2.1868	4.36	8.1
[CuL·Cl·H ₂ O]·H ₂ O	323	327	630	640	2.1639 2.2648	1.96	20.2
$[CuL_2.2H_2O]$	318	319	621	633	2.1618	1.78	10.8
[ZnL·CI·H ₂ O]·H ₂ O	312	311	466	475)	I	18.1
$[ZnL_2 \cdot 2H_2O]$	315	310	455	462	ì	I	4.6
$[FeL \cdot Cl_2 \cdot 2H_2O] \cdot H_2O$	342	331	521	528	4.2891 2.1872	5.72	23.6
[FeL ₃].2H ₂ O	324	318	510	518	1	5.53	8.6

465



Fig. 1 ESR spectra of Cu²⁺-chelates with ligand II

signed to the ${}^{6}A_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2g}$ (G) transition in octahedral geometry of the complexes. The observed magnetic moments of the Fe³⁺complexes are 5.53–5.90 B.M. Thus, the complexes formed have octahedral geometry involving d²sp³ hybridization in the Fe³⁺ ion.

The ESR spectra of the Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} or Fe^{3+} complexes, measured at room temperature, exhibit an intense broad signal and no obvious hyperfine structure for the Cu^{2+} complexes (Fig. 1). The g_{eff} values of the chelates are given in Table 1. The data in Table 1 may indicate elongated octahedral geometry for the Co^{2+} , square planar geometry around the Cu^{2+} and elongated octahedral geometry for the Fe^{3+} . The positive contribution to the g_{eff} value over that for a free electron (g=2.0023) may indicate an increase in the covalent nature of the bonding between the metal ion and the ligand molecule [16]. The magnitude of covalent bonding varies according to the nature of the ligand and the metal ion in the complex.



Fig. 2 Thermoanalytical curves of Zn^{2+} -chelates with ligand II

J. Thermal Anal., 50, 1997

<u>ë</u>	
6	
d	
E	
3	
õ	
T	
š	
ne	
E	
6	
is	
- XS	
al	
an	
U.	
Ξ	
g	
. <u>Е</u>	
as	
50	
g	
E	
<u>ie</u>	
Ê	
3	
e	
q	
2	

Complex Aduo M:L Metallic r $M:L$ Metallic r Co^{+2} -I 1:2 14.0 Cu^{+2} -I 1:2 15.0 Cu^{+2} -I 1:2 15.0 Zn^{+2} -I 1:1 23.3 Zn^{+2} -I 1:1 23.3 Fe^{+3} -I 1:1 15.1 Co^{+2} -II 1:1 17.3 Co^{+2} -II 1:1 16.3 Co^{+2} -II 1:1 16.3							7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	c residue/	W%		Н%	20	No	$T_{ m decomp}^{\prime}$	$\Delta E_{\rm a}$ /
$\begin{array}{cccc} Co^{+2}-I & 1:2 & 14.0 \\ Cu^{+2}-I & 1:2 & 15.0 \\ Zn^{+2}-I & 1:1 & 23.5 \\ Fe^{+3}-I & 1:1 & 17.5 \\ Fe^{+3}-I & 1:1 & 17.5 \\ Co^{+2}-II & 1:1 & 16.4 \\ 1:2 & 10.5 \\ \end{array}$	%	calcd.	found	calcd.	found	0 ² HONT	°C,	kJ mol ⁻¹
$ \begin{array}{cccc} Cu^{+2}-I & 1:2 & 15.6 \\ Zn^{+2}-I & 1:1 & 23.2 \\ Fe^{+3}-I & 1:2 & 15.7 \\ Fe^{+3}-I & 1:1 & 17.6 \\ Co^{+2}-II & 1:1 & 16.6 \\ 1:2 & 10.5 \end{array} $	4.0	11.13	11.0	6.81	6.95	2	535	37.39
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5.0	11.90	12.0	6.75	6.8	2	398	121.88
1:2 15.2 Fe ⁺³ -1 1:1 17.4 Co ⁺² -11 1:1 16.4 1:2 10.5	3.2	18.47	18.6	10.18	10.4	2	425	36.35
$Fe^{+3}-I \qquad 1:1 \qquad 17.5$ $Co^{+2}-II \qquad 1:1 \qquad 16.5$ $I:2 \qquad 10.5$	5.2	12.2	12.2	6.72	6.5	2	380	33.24
Co ⁺² -II 1:1 16.8 1:2 10.2	7.5	14.03	13.6	13.58	13.8	3	475	37.4
1:2 10.3	6.8	13.05	13.2	7.98	8.1	2	520	23.54
(0.3	7.99	8.1	4.89	5.0	2	355	14.20
Cu^{+4} -II 1:1 17.(7.6	13.93	14.1	7.9	8.2	2	570	84.76
1:2 10.0	0.6	8.56	8.5	4.85	5.1	2	495	51.46
Zn ⁺² -II 1:1 17.	7.4	14.27	14.0	7.86	8.1	2	585	91.41
1:2 11.(1.0	8.79	8.8	4.84	5.0	5	525	33.24
Fe ⁺³ -II 1:1 14.(4.0	11.12	10.9	10.76	11.0	3	560	83.10
1:2 7.(7.0	5.29	5.4	3.41	3.5	2	500	10.38

The molar conductivities (Λ_m) of 1×10^{-3} M solutions of the metal complexes in DMF at 25°C are in the range 4.6 -24.5 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹, i.e. the complexes behave as non-electrolytes. Accordingly, the chloride ions contribute to the coordination sphere in the complexes under investigation.

The thermogravimetric analyses of some of the solid complexes were performed in nitrogen atmosphere (Fig. 2). The loss in mass was measured from ambient temperature up to 900 °C at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹. Each inflection in the TG curve is accompanied by an endothermic peak in the DTA curve, corresponding to certain phase transitions. From the TG curves of the Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Fe^{3+} complexes, the loss in mass of each chelate was used to calculate the number of water molecules (Table 2). The metal content was confirmed by calculating it from the residual mass, after complete decomposition of the chelates to the corresponding metal oxide, the method described in [17] being applied (Table 2). According to the literature [18], water eliminated below 120°C can be regarded as crystal water, whereas water eliminated above 150°C is water coordinated to the metal ion in the chelates. The coordinated chloride ion is volatilized within the range 270-325°C. Above 335°C, decomposition of the complex species takes place with an inflection over the range 380-580°C in the range 30-55 wt%, indicating the transformation of another type of complex at this temperature. The formation of metal oxides starts above 600°C for the complexes. The final inflection shown by the 1:1 solid chelate in the 16.8–23.2 wt% range corresponds to the metal oxide residue, whereas for the 1:2 chelate it occurs at 11.0–15.2 wt% for the Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} complexes. The thermal degradation of the Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} complexes can be represented as follows:

$$[ML \cdot Cl \cdot H_2O]H_2O \xrightarrow{-H_2O}_{80-120^{\circ}C} ML \cdot Cl \cdot H_2O$$

$$\xrightarrow{-H_2O}_{160-180^{\circ}C} ML \cdot Cl \xrightarrow{-Cl}_{270-310^{\circ}C} [ML]$$

$$\xrightarrow{above 650^{\circ}C}_{decomposition} \text{ metal oxide (CoO, CuO or ZnO)}$$

$$ML_2 \cdot 2H_2O \xrightarrow{-H_2O}_{110-130^{\circ}C} ML_2 \cdot H_2O \xrightarrow{-H_2O}_{170-185^{\circ}C}$$

$$ML_2 \xrightarrow{270-360^{\circ}C} [ML] \xrightarrow{above 650^{\circ}C}_{decomposition}$$

metal oxide (CoO, CuO or ZnO).

The thermal degradation of the Fe^{3+} complexes can be postulated as follows:

$$[FeL \cdot 2Cl \cdot 2H_2O]H_2O \xrightarrow{-H_2O} [FeL \cdot 2Cl \cdot 2H_2O]$$

$$\frac{-2H_{2}O}{180-190^{\circ}C} FeL \cdot 2C1 \xrightarrow{-C1_{2}}{300-310^{\circ}C} [FeL]$$

$$\frac{decomposition}{480-650^{\circ}C} FeO$$

$$[FeL_{3}] \cdot 2H_{2}O \xrightarrow{-2H_{2}O}{125-150^{\circ}C} FeL_{3}$$

$$\xrightarrow{above 400-650^{\circ}C} FeO$$

The DTA curves of the metal complexes are characterized by the presence of an endothermic peak in the temperature range 80-220 °C. This is the temperature range in which water molecules are expelled. The DTA curves (Fig. 2) show several endothermic peaks at ≈ 600 °C, which are due to the decomposition of the anhydrous complexes (ML) to the metal oxide in the experiments carried out by using a nitrogen atmosphere. The energies of activation (E_a) of the thermal decomposition of the complexes are evaluated from the thermal curves (Table 2) as given in a previous work [19]. Generally, the reaction follows first-order kinetics. Arrhenius plots were constructed (Fig. 3) and E_a was obtained from the slope.



Fig. 3 Arrhenius plots constructed from DTA curves of some solid complexes

Gravimetric determination of Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Fe^{3+}

The organic ligands were used as reagents for the precipitation of Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Fe³⁺ complexes by using a buffer solution of 10% sodium acetate and dilute HCl to adjust the *pH* to the corresponding values for Co²⁺=6.0–6.8, Cu²⁺=4.0– 5.5 and Fe³⁺=3.0–3.8. The complex precipitate was filtered off on a G-4 sintered glass crucible, washed with hot distilled water, dried at 120°C to constant mass

469

and kept in a desiccator over dry calcium chloride. To determine the quantity of Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , the precipitate was ignited at 750°C to yield the oxides (Table 3). The free ligands I and II are colourless while the complexes exhibit distinct colours, e.g. pale-brown complexes of Co^{2+} , greenish-yellow complexes of Cu^{2+} and red complexes of Fe³⁺.

Complex	Wt. taken	Wt. of oxide	Wt. found	% Error
Complex		mg		±
Co ²⁺ -I	26.22	68.00	26.16	0.13
Co ²⁺ -II	25.17	66.50	25.32	0.12
Cu ²⁺ -I	58.50	74.61	58.57	0.10
Cu ²⁺ -II	53.81	68.82	53.90	0.21
Fe ³⁺ -I	29.94	34.83	29.70	0.80
Fe ³⁺ -II	18.71	21.75	18.55	0.85

Table 3 Determination of metal ion as oxide

Gravimetric determination of Co^{2+} in presence of Cu^{2+}

A mixture containing known amounts of Cu^{2+} and Co^{2+} was diluted to 80 ml with distilled water. A 10% buffer solution of sodium acetate and dilute HCl was then added to adjust the *pH* to about 4.8. The solution was heated to boiling and copper was precipitated by the gradual addition of the organic reagent I or II (30 ml of 1×10^{-2} M solution). The precipitate of the Cu^{2+} complex was heated on a water bath for 2 h with occasional stirring, filtered through a No. 4 sintered glass crucible, washed well with hot distilled water and dried at $110-120^{\circ}C$ to constant mass. The copper content of the precipitate was calculated by multiplying the mass of the precipitate by the chemical factor. Before the subsequent determination of Co^{2+} , 10 ml of 2% hydroxylamine hydrochloride was added. The

Mintura -		Taken			Found	1	0/ E	irror
No.			m	g			/0 L	
	Cu ²⁺	+	Co ²⁺	Cu ²⁺	+	Co ²⁺	Cu ²⁺	Co ²⁺
1	33.10		26.21	32.97		26.30	0.14	0.10
2	27.80		28.32	27.64		28.36	0.20	0.08
3	30.44		29.35	30.58		29.31	0.15	0.10
4	39.71		31.46	39.62		31.48	0.13	0.04

Table 4 Determination of Cu^{2+} and Co^{2+} ions in a mixture

 Co^{2^+} in solution was then determined as described above after adjusting the buffer solution to $pH\approx 6.5$. A known mass of the precipitate of the metal complex was ignited to the metal oxide. The metal content was calculated by multiplying the mass of the metal oxide by the chemical factor. The metal ion concentration in the separated complex was determined after igniting a known mass of the complex, dissolving the remaining metal oxide in conc. HNO₃ and removing the excess HNO₃ by evaporation. The metal ion was then determined compleximetrically [10]. Table 4 indicates that Cu^{2^+} and Co^{2^+} can be separated quantitatively, and hence they can be determined separately.

The average error for several determinations by gravimetric methods was found to be less than 0.99%.

References

- 1 S. C. Shome, Analyst, 75 (1950) 27.
- 2 A. S. Bhaduri and P. Ray, Z. Anal. Chem., 154 (1957) 103.
- 3 J. Harjit and R. Pande, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 71 (1994) 161.
- 4 S. Inoue, S. Hoshi and M. Matsubara, Talanta, 33 (1986) 611.
- 5 M. M. Abdel Badei, J. Serb. Chem. Soc., 56 (1991) 739.
- 6 Yang, Zhibin, Zhan, Chunrui, Shiand Annong, Fenxi huaxue, 17 (1989) 533.
- 7 M. M. Abdel Badei, M. M. Moustafa, T. Y. Mohamed and M. F. El-Shahat, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 22 (1992) 983.
- 8 A. K. Majumdar, 'N-Benzoylphenylhydroxylamine and its Analogues' Pergamon Press, Oxford 1972.
- 9 M. M. Moustafa, M. M. Abdel Badei, T. Y. Mohamed and M. F. El-Shahat, Accepted in 4th Ibn Sina International Symposium 'Ain Shams Univ'., Egypt 1992.
- 10 F. J. Welcher 'The Analytical Uses of Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid', D. Van-Nostrand, New York 1961.
- 11 J. Basset, R. C. Denney, G. H. Jeffery and J. Mendham, 'Vogel's Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis'. Fourth Edition, 1978, p. 342.
- 12 M. M. Moustafa, Egypt, J. Chem., 36 (1993) 319.
- 13 A. Earnshaw, 'Introduction to Magnetochemistry', Academic Press, New York 1966, p. 34.
- 14 R. H. Lee and E. D. Wold, Inorg. Chem., 3 (1964) 1278.
- 15 M. M. Mostafa and D. Nicholls, Inorg. Chim. Acta., 51 (1981) 35.
- 16 I. Fidone and K. W. H. Stevens, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, 73 (1959) 116.
- 17 A. M. G. MacDonald, P. Sirichanya, Microchem. J., 14 (1969) 199.
- 18 A. V. Nikolaev, V. A. Logvineko and L. I. Myachina, Thermal Analysis, Vol. 2, Academic, New York 1969.
- 19 W. W. Wendlandt, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 25 (1963) 833.